| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT |
| 3 | |
| 5 | JUL 3 0 2008 |
| 6 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUTY |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 8 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 9 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 08-1810 M |
| 11 | Plaintiff,) ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a)(6) |
| 12 | v.) 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)) Allegations of Violations of |
| 13 | Donna L. Blair Probation/Supervised Release Conditions) |
| 14 | Defendant.) |
| 15 | On arrest warrant issued by the United States District Court for |
| 16 | the $FDCA$ involving alleged violations of conditions of |
| 17 | probation/supervised release, |
| 18 | The court finds no condition or combination of conditions that will |
| 19 | reasonably assure: |
| 20 | A. (\checkmark the appearance of defendant as required; and/or |
| 21 | B. (\checkmark) the safety of any person or the community. |
| 22 | The Court concludes: |
| 23 | A. () Defendant poses a risk to the safety of other |
| 24 | persons or the community because defendant has not |
| 25 | demonstrated by clear and convincing evidence that: |
| 26 | she can while by and that of reliance |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

| 1 | B. (Defendant is a flight risk because defendant has |
|----|---|
| 2 | not shown by clear and convincing evidence that: |
| 3 | she can while by conditions of where |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | IT IS ORDERED defendant be detained. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | DATED: July 30, 2008 (Muly) mulu |
| 11 | CAROLYN TURCHIN |
| 12 | U.S. MAGISTRATE/DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |